

Arvados - Feature #14718

[API] Option to issue salted token in login procedure

01/10/2019 08:00 PM - Peter Amstutz

Status: Resolved	Start date: 01/26/2019
Priority: Normal	Due date:
Assigned To: Lucas Di Pentima	% Done: 100%
Category: API	Estimated time: 0.00 hour
Target version: 2019-01-30 Sprint	
Description When redirecting a user agent to the Arvados login endpoint (https://aaaaa.arvadosapi.com/login), an application may specify a remote cluster ID in the query string (.../login?remote=bbbb). In that case, assuming the login is successful and a new token is issued, the new token will be salted for the specified remote. This story does <i>not</i> cover prompting the user differently depending on the requested scope, although we will want to do so in the future.	
Subtasks: Task # 14751: Review 14718-api-login-salted-token Resolved	
Related issues: Blocks Arvados - Feature #12958: [Federation] Workbench login chooser Closed	

Associated revisions

Revision d1e00d89 - 01/29/2019 09:30 AM - Lucas Di Pentima

Merge branch '14718-api-login-salted-token'
Closes #14718

Arvados-DCO-1.1-Signed-off-by: Lucas Di Pentima <ldipentima@veritasgenetics.com>

History

#1 - 01/10/2019 08:00 PM - Peter Amstutz

- Status changed from New to In Progress

#2 - 01/10/2019 08:14 PM - Peter Amstutz

- Subject changed from [API] untrusted client login receives salted token to [API] login flow for remote clusters that receives salted token

#4 - 01/10/2019 08:42 PM - Peter Amstutz

- Related to Feature #12958: [Federation] Workbench login chooser added

#5 - 01/10/2019 08:46 PM - Tom Clegg

- Subject changed from [API] login flow for remote clusters that receives salted token to [API] Option to issue salted token in login procedure

- Description updated

- Category set to API

- Status changed from In Progress to New

#6 - 01/10/2019 08:46 PM - Tom Clegg

- Related to deleted (Feature #12958: [Federation] Workbench login chooser)

#7 - 01/10/2019 08:46 PM - Tom Clegg

- Blocks Feature #12958: [Federation] Workbench login chooser added

#10 - 01/10/2019 08:47 PM - Tom Clegg

- Tracker changed from Bug to Feature

#11 - 01/10/2019 09:30 PM - Tom Clegg

- Story points set to 2.0

#12 - 01/11/2019 07:13 PM - Peter Amstutz

- Target version changed from To Be Groomed to Arvados Future Sprints

#13 - 01/16/2019 04:15 PM - Tom Morris

- Target version changed from Arvados Future Sprints to 2019-01-30 Sprint

#14 - 01/16/2019 04:42 PM - Lucas Di Pentima

- Assigned To set to Lucas Di Pentima

#15 - 01/18/2019 04:11 PM - Lucas Di Pentima

- Status changed from New to In Progress

#16 - 01/26/2019 12:11 AM - Lucas Di Pentima

Updates at [4bb449eb5](#) - branch 14718-api-login-salted-token

Test run: <https://ci.curoverse.com/job/developer-run-tests/1041/>

Took advantage of return_to being passed around between API server and SSO to propagate the remote parameter.

#17 - 01/28/2019 04:18 PM - Tom Clegg

In user_sessions_controller.rb → login, it looks like params[:remote] is missing a CGI.escape() in case it's an unexpectedly non-alphanum/malicious string -- it gets appended to another string provided by the same client so I don't see how it would be exploitable, but I'm inclined to be defensive about it anyway.

```
remote_param += "remote=#{params[:remote]}"
```

Stashing the remote param in the return_to URL seems sensible enough, but

- it's sneaky/non-obvious enough that it should be explained in comments
- it should be removed from return_to before create() redirects there. If I'm following correctly, when Workbench sends the user to https://api/login?return_to=https://wb/home&remote=bbbb, the user will eventually land on either <https://wb/home?remote=bbbb> or <https://wb/home> depending on whether they needed to go through the joshid→sso→sessions#create flow or took the "already logged in" short cut. It would be better if we could ensure they always land on <https://wb/home> as requested. (Am I following correctly?)

I wonder whether it might even be less confusing to use a format like `return_to="bbbb,https://wb/home"` (or `","https://wb/home"` for the unsalted case) to avoid all the corner cases involved in stashing the remote id in the client-provided URL. For example, I'd rather not even try to figure out whether we're doing the right thing here when the client-provided return_to is something like `"https://wb/home?foo=bar#foo/bar?baz"`.

#18 - 01/28/2019 08:17 PM - Lucas Di Pentima

Updates at [0d1836a8d](#)

Test run: <https://ci.curoverse.com/job/developer-run-tests/1043/>

Addressed above suggestions.

#19 - 01/28/2019 08:53 PM - Tom Clegg

I think we should

- ignore the remote param if it contains a comma (or perhaps even if it doesn't match `/^[0-9a-z]{5}$/`)
- escape the whole combined param including the comma (I notice `CGI.escape(',') == '%2c'` -- and we will unescape the whole thing before splitting, so we might as well escape the whole thing after joining)

the rest LGTM, thanks!

#20 - 01/28/2019 08:55 PM - Tom Clegg

Tom Clegg wrote:

- ignore the remote param if it contains a comma (or perhaps even if it doesn't match `/^[0-9a-z]{5}$/`)

...only on the "login" handler, not the "callback" handler.

#21 - 01/28/2019 09:26 PM - Tom Clegg

On second thought, I think we should error out (rather than ignoring the remote param) in both callback and login handlers if the "remote" value

doesn't look like a cluster ID.

#22 - 01/28/2019 10:06 PM - Lucas Di Pentima

Updates at [245e4cafd](#)

Test run: <https://ci.curoverse.com/job/developer-run-tests/1044/>

- Validates the remote cluster id parameter both on login endpoint & omniauth callback.
- Added tests.
- Updated lib/controller handler test to support the new format.

#23 - 01/28/2019 10:32 PM - Tom Clegg

LGTM, thanks!

#24 - 01/29/2019 12:40 PM - Lucas Di Pentima

- *Status changed from In Progress to Resolved*

- *% Done changed from 0 to 100*

Applied in changeset [arvados|d1e00d89dac87929d39c0689a593f0574980f2e8](#).

#25 - 03/01/2019 06:30 PM - Tom Morris

- *Release set to 15*