

## Arvados - Story #15922

### Change EnableBetaController14287 (default false) to ForceLegacyAPI14 (default false)

12/04/2019 07:43 PM - Tom Clegg

<b>Status:</b> Resolved	<b>Start date:</b> 12/12/2019
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	<b>Due date:</b>
<b>Assigned To:</b> Tom Clegg	<b>% Done:</b> 100%
<b>Category:</b> API	<b>Estimated time:</b> 0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b> 2020-01-02 Sprint	
<b>Description</b>	
<b>Subtasks:</b>	
Task # 15923: Review 15922-force-legacy-api-14	<b>Resolved</b>
<b>Related issues:</b>	
Related to Arvados - Story #14287: [Controller] Refactor API code / routing	<b>Resolved</b> <b>06/18/2019</b>

#### Associated revisions

##### Revision 8eda8735 - 12/12/2019 08:55 PM - Tom Clegg

Merge branch '15922-force-legacy-api-14'

refs #15922

Arvados-DCO-1.1-Signed-off-by: Tom Clegg <[tom@tomclegg.ca](mailto:tom@tomclegg.ca)>

#### History

##### #1 - 12/04/2019 07:43 PM - Tom Clegg

- Related to Story #14287: [Controller] Refactor API code / routing added

##### #2 - 12/06/2019 07:48 PM - Tom Clegg

- Subject changed from Change EnableBetaController14287 (default false) to ForceRailsAPI (default false) to Change EnableBetaController14287 (default false) to ForceLegacyAPI14 (default false)

##### #3 - 12/08/2019 12:49 AM - Tom Clegg

- Status changed from New to In Progress

- Assigned To set to Tom Clegg

##### #4 - 12/09/2019 03:19 PM - Tom Clegg

15922-force-legacy-api-14 @ commit:eb3ff279c7f29745ff9a9a48288ef07731c812a9 -- <https://ci.curoverse.com/view/Developer/job/developer-run-tests/1692/>

##### #5 - 12/12/2019 04:06 PM - Tom Clegg

15922-force-legacy-api-14 @ [44f0586f6d2feb1325e1b5f2014195506c03f48a](https://ci.curoverse.com/view/Developer/job/developer-run-tests/1695/) -- <https://ci.curoverse.com/view/Developer/job/developer-run-tests/1695/>

##### #6 - 12/12/2019 07:26 PM - Lucas Di Pentima

Just a couple of comments, otherwise LGTM:

- Should the controller log a warning when the flag is true reminding the admin that will be deprecated?
- Do you think adding a test for existence of `writable_by` on responses would be useful? Asking because etag got one but I believe the other didn't.

##### #7 - 12/12/2019 08:54 PM - Tom Clegg

I'm reluctant to warn about something the admin had to do explicitly after (presumably) reading the caveats in the config file comment. The config loader will warn automatically ("unknown config key") if it's still in the config when the flag is removed/unsupported.

Yes to a `writable_by` test. Added to 15922-force-legacy-api-14 @ [1c4a2d386dc0d2a85c86171801c6681d76fb12fe](https://ci.curoverse.com/view/Developer/job/developer-run-tests/1695/)

##### #8 - 12/12/2019 08:56 PM - Tom Clegg

- Status changed from In Progress to Resolved