

## Arvados - Bug #18013

[api] the rake tasks db:check\_long\_lived\_tokens and db:fix\_long\_lived\_tokens should take API.MaxTokenLifeTime into account

08/12/2021 01:36 PM - Ward Vandewege

<b>Status:</b>	Resolved	<b>Start date:</b>	08/12/2021
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assigned To:</b>	Ward Vandewege	<b>% Done:</b>	100%
<b>Category:</b>		<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	2021-08-18 sprint		
<b>Description</b>			
These rake tasks only consider the Login.TokenLifeTime configuration value.			
We want to use API.MaxTokenLifeTime instead. And if that is not set, use Login.TokenLifeTime, since the docs state that the former takes precedence.			
<b>Subtasks:</b>			
Task # 18014: review 18013-token-rake-task-fix			<b>Resolved</b>

### Associated revisions

#### Revision b190f9b1 - 08/12/2021 08:22 PM - Ward Vandewege

Merge branch '18013-token-rake-task-fix'

closes #18013

Arvados-DCO-1.1-Signed-off-by: Ward Vandewege <[ward@curii.com](mailto:ward@curii.com)>

### History

#### #1 - 08/12/2021 01:36 PM - Ward Vandewege

- Status changed from New to In Progress

#### #2 - 08/12/2021 01:38 PM - Ward Vandewege

- Description updated

#### #3 - 08/12/2021 03:07 PM - Ward Vandewege

Ready for review at [377467f5285670a797ec02b4ca6c5a129c289a39](https://ci.arvados.org/view/Developer/job/developer-run-tests/2635/) on branch 18013-token-rake-task-fix. Tests are running at <https://ci.arvados.org/view/Developer/job/developer-run-tests/2635/>.

#### #4 - 08/12/2021 06:17 PM - Lucas Di Pentima

Just one comment:

- At line 55 there's a next call that AFAICT makes the rake task return early but in that task, I think the idea is to report so maybe it would make more sense to just display the warning and continue with the iteration?

Apart from that, it LGTM.

#### #5 - 08/12/2021 08:15 PM - Ward Vandewege

Lucas Di Pentima wrote:

Just one comment:

- At line 55 there's a next call that AFAICT makes the rake task return early but in that task, I think the idea is to report so maybe it would make more sense to just display the warning and continue with the iteration?

Apart from that, it LGTM.

Well, that `next` call is inside a loop so it just continues to the next iteration. It's true that the other `next` calls in the rake task make the task return early (they are the equivalent of a `return`). This is a rake task oddity, maybe I should just add a comment along those lines? If that makes sense I can merge this.

**#6 - 08/12/2021 10:36 PM - Ward Vandewege**

- % Done changed from 0 to 100

- Status changed from In Progress to Resolved

Applied in changeset [arvados|b190f9b18bdbd38f167050058c70e014da0a9bdf](#).

**#8 - 11/16/2021 04:30 PM - Peter Amstutz**

- Release set to 42