

## Arvados - Bug #6941

### [Documentation] Fix www-data references in git server install guide

08/10/2015 03:38 PM - Brett Smith

<b>Status:</b>	Resolved	<b>Start date:</b>	08/10/2015
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assigned To:</b>	Peter Amstutz	<b>% Done:</b>	100%
<b>Category:</b>	Documentation	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	2015-09-02 sprint		
<b>Description</b>			
The git server install guide references the www-data user and group in a couple of places. This user is Debian-specific: Red Hat uses nginx (or another account for other Web servers). Adjust the instructions to work across more distributions.			
<b>Subtasks:</b>			
Task # 7105: Fix docs			<b>Resolved</b>
Task # 7106: Review 6941-docs-fix-www-data			<b>Resolved</b>

#### Associated revisions

##### Revision 9494d7df - 08/24/2015 02:03 PM - Peter Amstutz

Merge branch '6941-docs-fix-www-data' closes #6941

#### History

##### #1 - 08/19/2015 08:06 PM - Brett Smith

- Target version changed from 2015-09-02 sprint to Arvados Future Sprints

##### #2 - 08/21/2015 07:24 PM - Peter Amstutz

- Target version changed from Arvados Future Sprints to 2015-09-02 sprint

##### #3 - 08/21/2015 07:35 PM - Peter Amstutz

- Assigned To set to Peter Amstutz

##### #4 - 08/21/2015 08:42 PM - Ward Vandewege

6941-docs-fix-www-data up for review LGTM.

There is one more occurrence of www-data in our install guide, in the API server installation. It's inside the runit script we recommend and prefaced with a comment that says the line may need to be modified. You could make that more explicit if you like, though the status quo seems good enough for me (and it's out of scope of this ticket).

##### #5 - 08/21/2015 09:47 PM - Ward Vandewege

- Status changed from New to In Progress

##### #6 - 08/24/2015 02:01 PM - Peter Amstutz

Ward Vandewege wrote:

6941-docs-fix-www-data up for review LGTM.

There is one more occurrence of www-data in our install guide, in the API server installation. It's inside the runit script we recommend and prefaced with a comment that says the line may need to be modified. You could make that more explicit if you like, though the status quo seems good enough for me (and it's out of scope of this ticket).

The runit script for puma you mean? I did update that comment already.

##### #7 - 08/24/2015 02:05 PM - Peter Amstutz

- Status changed from In Progress to Resolved

- % Done changed from 0 to 100

Applied in changeset arvados|commit:9494d7df964f24d7d8d53a09233d696d4ffcc234.